Pp. 27-28 in: 4th International Hymenopterists Conference, 6-11th January 1999, Canberra, Australia. Program and abstracts. Glen Osmond, South Australia: 4th International Hymenopterists Conference, 96 pp.

Brady, S.G., J. Gadau and P.S. Ward (Department of Entomology and Center for Population Biology, University of California, Davis, California, USA).

Is the ant genus Camponotus paraphyletic?

The large cosmopolitan ant genus Camponotus contains about 930 described species, apportioned among 46 subgenera. There has been no comprehensive evaluation of the higher classification of the genus for more than 70 years. Here we present the results of a preliminary study of several major lineages of Camponotus, using sequence data from a portion (385 bp) of the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I gene. We sampled 31 Camponotus taxa (29 nominal species) belonging to nine subgenera. In addition we included representatives of three other ant genera from the subfamily Formicinae: Polyrhachis, Formica, and Lasius. The last two were treated as outgroups. The data were analyzed by both maximum parsimony and maximum likelihood, and the findings discussed below were robust to both methods. In our analyses Camponotus did not emerge as a monophyletic group. Rather, Polyrhachis consistently appeared as the sister group of a Malaysian species of C. (Colobopsis) (65% bootstrap support). There was modest support for the monophyly of Camponotus + Polyrhachis (61% bootstrap support). The mtDNA data also pointed to close relationships among groups of Camponotus species in the same subgenus from the same region, e.g., Neotropical Myrmothrix (96% bootstrap support), Holarctic Camponotus (s.s.) excluding C. quercicola (59%), a cluster of three Nearctic species of Tanaemyrmex (57%),

28

two South American Tanaemyrmex (82%), and two Nearctic Colobopsis (56%). Yet three Nearctic species of Myrmentoma became disassociated: one species (C. clarithorax) clustered with Camponotus (Myrmaphaenus) yogi (72% bootstrap support) while the other two species examined (C. essigi, C. hyatti) were sister taxa (100% bootstrap support), in another part of the tree. These results should be considered provisional, and subject to revision with additional sequence data and other taxa. Nevertheless, they raise the possibility that (i) several of the Camponotus subgenera, such as Colobopsis and Myrmentoma, are artificial assemblages of convergently similar species, and (ii) Camponotus, as currently constituted, is paraphyletic, having given rise to the Old World radiation of arboreal species known as Polyrhachis.