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ABSTRACT

A new ant, *Dolopomyrmex pilatus* (new genus, new species), is described from the southwestern United States. The ant belongs to the subfamily Myrmicinae and is placed in the tribe Solenopsidini, as defined by Bolton (1987, 2003). Within the tribe it seems closer to the Old World genera *Anillomyrma* and *Bondroitia*, rather than the New World genus *Tranopelta*, as previously suggested by some. *D. pilatus* appears to be almost exclusively subterranean, which may account for the rarity with which it has been collected. Brief observations on a living colony fragment revealed that the workers fed only on termite nymphs and the brood of other ants.
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INTRODUCTION

Although the ant fauna of North America north of the Mexican border is diverse, consisting of an estimated 800-900 species, no new ant genus has been described from material collected in the United States or Canada since Mycetosoritis, described by William Morton Wheeler in 1907. The new genus described herein was first discovered in the southwestern United States, in the Madrean Archipelago region of southern Arizona, known as the “Sky Islands.” The exuberant ant fauna of this area is but a northern extension of the enormous, yet still poorly understood fauna of the Sierra Madre Occidentale of Mexico, and we expect other discoveries of comparable importance will be made as the ants of this region become better known. Additional collections of the new genus have been made in New Mexico and California from community types that, like the type locality, are clearly derived from the mountain and desert ecosystems of northern Mexico.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Specimens were examined and measured using a Wild (now Leica) M-5A with micrometer. Measurement conventions and indices are as defined in Bolton (1987), with the exception of AL (alitrunk length), here recorded as ML (mesosoma length).

Dolopomyrmex, new genus

Figures 1-3

Diagnosis of Worker. Monomorphic subterranean myrmicine ants with the following combination of characters:

1) Palp formula 3,2
2) Mandible with 4 teeth and strongly oblique cutting margin, the largest gap between mandibular teeth separating the basal tooth from the third subapical tooth.
3) Clypeus ecarinate. Median clypeal seta absent, instead anterior margin with pair of long, ventrally curved setae, one on each side of the midpoint, flanked laterally by fringe of 5-6 long, forward-projecting setae on each side of the clypeal margin.
4) Median portion of clypeus narrow, strongly elevated, antennal insertions closely approximated.
5) Frontal lobes small, subtriangular, fully covering condylar bulbs of antennae. Frontal carinae absent.
6) Eyes vestigial or entirely absent, when present, consisting only of the remnant of a single ommatidium.
7) Antennae 11 segmented, with an enormous 3 segmented apical club longer than the remainder of the funiculus.
8) Mesosoma elongate, in profile, compressed laterally at promesonotal juncture with propodeum giving it a distinctive hourglass shape in dorsal view.
9) Metanotal impression present, propodeum lacking teeth or spines, in profile dorsally convex, with flat posterior face in side view.
10) Propodeal spiracle circular, of moderate size. Metapleural gland well-developed. Metapleural lobes small, rounded and inconspicuous.
12) Postpetiole low and rounded in profile, lacking a ventral projection or tooth, suborbicular in dorsal view.
13) Tergite and sternite of the fourth abdominal segment meeting to produce an angulate corner on each side of the postpetiole seen in dorsal view, as in the unrelated myrmicine genus *Pheidole*.
14) Sting simple, robust.
15) Outer surfaces of middle and hind tibiae and tarsomeres with abundant stiff, spine-like, erect to suberect setae.

Diagnosis of Queen. As in worker except:

1) Enormous in comparison with worker caste. ML always > TL of largest workers.
2) Mandible with strongly oblique cutting margin and 4 teeth that decrease progressively in size from apical to basal.
3) Submedian ventrally curved setae on anterior clypeal margin not clearly separable from other setae present.
4) Ventral surface of head with abundant, curved, delicate erect setae.
5) Compound eyes large, with scattered very short, stubble-like, erect setae. Ocelli well-developed but small.
6) Antennae 11 segmented as in worker, 3 segmented apical club present, but less pronounced.
7) Body in general somewhat flattened, probably as an adaptation for subterranean life.
8) Mesosoma massive, with full complement of sclerites associated with the presence of wings.
9) Petiolar node subrectangular, wider than long, in dorsal view. Postpetiole subelliptic in dorsal view.
10) Spine-like erect setae abundant on outer surface of hind tibia, especially abundant on the outer tibial surface of the middle leg.

Diagnosis of Male.

1) Palpal formula 2,1. Palps very short.
2) Mandible strap-like, with strongly oblique cutting margin and 4 teeth, decreasing progressively in size from the apex. Rarely, 1-2 teeth may be absent, leaving only the apical and subapical teeth present.
3) As in worker caste, clypeus ecarinate, median clypeal seta absent, paired submedian ventrally curved setae present.
4) Median portion of clypeus narrow, elevated, antennal insertions closely approximated.
5) Frontal lobes small, only partly covering the condylar bulbs, frontal carinae absent.
6) Compound eyes large, with short erect setae as in queen. Ocelli well-developed but small.
7) Antennae 11 segmented, with large 3 segmented club. First antennal segment greatly enlarged to form distinct scape longer than the apical club.
8) Mesosoma massive, alate, wing venation as in Fig. 3. Propodeum lacking teeth or spines, spiracle lenticular, metapleural lobes very small.
9) Petiolar node low and rounded in profile, peduncle absent. Petiolar spiracles located at the tips of large, laterally projecting connules.
10) Postpetiole unique, as in Fig. 3. Attachment to fourth abdominal segment extraordinarily broad, spiracles as in petiole, located at the tips of large, laterally projecting connules.
11) Erect or suberect setae present on outer surfaces of middle and hind tibiae, but neither stiff and spine-like, nor as abundant as in the female castes.

Type species: *Dolopomyrmex pilatus* Cover & Deyrup, new species.
Etymology: Dolops, Dolopus (ancient Greek, “lurker in ambush”) + myrmex, myrmekos (Greek, “ant”).

Dolopomyrmex pilatus, new species

Figures 1-3

Holotype worker: TL 2.0, HL 0.54, HW 0.49, CI 91, SL 0.33, SI 67, PW 0.33, ML 0.70.

With characters of the generic diagnosis and as illustrated in Fig. 1. Head broadly rectangular, posterior corners rounded, posterior margin flat, entire dorsal surface covered with coarse setigerous foveolae, generally separated by 1-2× their diameters. Spaces between foveolae smooth and shining. Eye remnant barely visible; unpigmented. Numerous short, decumbent to appressed setae present on dorsal surface of head, sparse appressed setae present on ventral cephalic surface. Antennal scapes in repose extending about 3/4 the distance towards the posterior corners of the head, with numerous erect or suberect hairs on outer surfaces. Body surfaces generally smooth and shining on mesosoma, petiole, postpetiole, and remainder of abdomen. Numerous, short, curved erect hairs present on mesosoma, petiole, postpetiole, and remainder of abdomen. Numerous short, curved erect hairs present on propodeal dorsum, petiolar node, and postpetiole, respectively. Remainder of abdomen (= gaster) with evenly spaced erect hairs as in Fig. 1. Color pale yellow.

Paratype workers: TL 1.6-2.4, HL 0.47-0.56, HW 0.42-0.51, CI 89-91, SL 0.27-0.34, SI 67-68, PW 0.26-0.33, ML 0.59-0.74.

Paratype queen: TL 9.5, HL 1.27, HW 1.49, CI 117, SL 0.79, SI 53, ML 3.2. With characters in generic diagnosis and as illustrated in Fig. 2. Head broader than long, posterior margin flat, dorsal surface foveolate, foveolae larger and much sparser on posterior half of head. Spaces between foveolae smooth and shining, except on anterior half, where weak striae are present surrounding the antennal sockets and near the mandibular insertions. Clypeus foveolate, except for smooth median strip, erect setae numerous. Antennal scape in repose against head with many erect setae on outer surfaces. Mesosoma largely smooth and shining, sparsely and finely foveolate on most surfaces, coarser foveolae present on mesopleuron. Petiole and postpetiole smooth and shining with scattered fine foveolae, each with a tuft of long, erect setae on ventral surface. Remainder of abdomen smooth and shining with sparse, very fine foveolae and moderately abundant, short erect hairs. Outer surfaces of middle and hind tibiae with abundant, coarse, setigerous foveolae. Color: body generally light to medium yellowish-brown, appendages a lighter brownish yellow. Posterior dorsal surface of head with large, dark brown spot centering on the ocelli.

Paratype male: TL 5.0, HL 0.68, HW 0.72, CI 106, SL 0.45, SI 62, ML 1.85. With characters in generic diagnosis and as illustrated in Fig. 3. Head with fine striate sculpture over most of dorsal surface, except for smooth median clypeal strip and two lateral smooth, shining patches between the ocelli and compound eyes. Mesosoma generally unsculptured and shining with widely spaced very fine setigerous foveolae, except for some coarser foveolae on the mesopleuron, and fine striate sculpture on the mesopleuron and the propodeal sides. Petiole and postpetiole with small tuft of long, erect setae on ventral surface. Remainder of abdomen with widely-spaced, very fine setigerous foveolae, erect hairs sparse and short. Color: head and mesoscutum blackish brown, Mesosoma, petiole, and postpetiole variably light to medium yellowish brown. Legs light yellowish brown, antennae pale yellow.

Etymology: “pilum” is Latin for the short, but powerful throwing spear of the Roman legionaries. So Dolopomyrmex pilatus is the “spear-bearing ambush ant.”
**Type Locality:** USA, Arizona: Cochise Co. Chiricahua Mtns. 0.8mi NW Jct. Forest Service Road 42 on FSR 42B (Paradise Road). 31°55.24'N, 109°09.67'W. elevation 4700' (1432 m.). Broad, grazed wash dominated by mesquite and acacia to 3 m. tall. Fine-textured, densely compacted, sandy soil with some clay. **Note:** this is privately owned land adjacent to the Coronado National Forest.

**Type Series:** holotype worker (SP Cover 1441) and the following paratypes: 22 workers, 13 males, 15 queens [3-VIII-1988, SPC 1441], 9 workers, 12 queens [4-VIII-1988, SPC 1454], 6 workers [17-VIII-1989, SPC 2173], 13 workers [17-VIII-1989, SPC 2174], 4 workers [3-VIII-1990, SPC 2530]. Holotype and paratypes deposited in the Museum of Comparative Zoology (Cambridge, Mass., USA). Additional paratypes will be deposited in the Natural History Museum (London, U.K), the Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County (Los Angeles, California), the National Museum of Natural History (Washington, DC), the California Academy of Sciences (San Francisco, CA), the Museu de Zoologia (Sao Paolo, Brazil), the Australian National Insect Collection (Canberra, Australia), the University of California (Davis, California) and in the collection of William P. Mackay. **Note:** images of the holotype will be available on the online MCZ Type Database [http://mcz-28168.oeb.harvard.edu/default.htm] and images of paratypes on AntWeb [http://www.antweb.org].

**Additional specimens examined:** USA, California: Los Angeles Co. Saddleback Butte. 23-IX-1989. coll. G.C. Snelling (2 males, 2 dealate females) [LACM]. **New Mexico:** Dona Ana Co., 45km NE Las Cruces. Jornada LTER. 3-X-1970. colls. G. Richardson and C.W. O'Brien (3 alate females, 1 dealate) [LACM]; Socorro Co. Servilleta National Wildlife Refuge. Date unknown (not seen by the authors, but cited in Mackay and Mackay (2002)).

**DISCUSSION**

It is possible the California collections may represent a second species of *Dolopomyrmex*. The two queens are somewhat larger than those from Arizona and New Mexico and exhibit some differences in morphology and pilosity. The two males taken with them, however, closely resemble males from the type series. These specimens are tentatively assigned to *D. pilatus* pending the collection of workers, upon which their status should be re-evaluated.

The type locality is a desert wash with highly compacted, sandy soil located at the border between relatively flat desert and the foothills of the Chiricahua Mountains. It is a very arid, sunbaked habitat for most of the year. Ant species found at the site include: *Crematogaster opuntiae*, *Aphaenogaster albizetosa*, *Pogonomyrmex barbatus*, *P. imberbiculus*, *Pheidole desertorum*, *P. rugulosa*, *Dorymyrmex insanus*, *Forelius maccooki*, and *Camponotus fragilis*, all desert or desert foothills ants common throughout much of the American Southwest. The following notes summarize the circumstances of each collection at the type locality. SPC 1441 was collected when a single worker was seen entering a minute, nearly invisible hole with a trace of excavated soil around it, in bare soil between mesquite clumps a day after rain. Chambers containing winged queens, males, some brood, and workers were found between 30-50 cm. deep. SPC 1454 was found in soil “while excavating a nest of another species” and included winged queens as well as workers. SPC 2173, 2174 and 2530 are all small series of workers taken 15-25 cm deep in soil when excavating nests of *Pogonomyrmex imberbiculus* after rain at the type locality. The *Pogonomyrmex* nests were all located in bare soil between mesquite clumps. SPC 1441 was maintained alive for about a week in a large plastic petri dish with a plaster bottom and moist cotton in it. The workers fed intermittently on fresh ant brood and termite nymphs, but ignored other dead insects and sweet substances. Mackay & Mackay (2002) report this ant (as *Tranopelta* sp.) from “Creosotebush scrub and mesquite dominant
Dolopomyrmex fits comfortably within the myrmicine tribe Solenopsidini, as newly expanded by Bolton (2003). Its affinities within the tribe are the subject of some disagreement. Since the discovery of Dolopomyrmex in 1988, several myrmecologists have suggested informally that it is most likely an undescribed species of the exclusively New World myrmicine genus Tranopelta. Indeed, Mackay & Mackay (2002) place the ant in that genus (without formally describing it) and summarize the reasons for doing so. The hypothesis makes some sense. Dolopomyrmex and Tranopelta share important character states. The workers of both lack a bicarinate clypeus and a median clypeal seta, and instead possess a pair of subparallel setae that straddle the clypeal midpoint. The antennae of both are 11 segmented with a prominent 3 segmented apical club. The queens and males also share some notable similarities. Both Dolopomyrmex and Tranopelta have fully subterranean life-histories, workers yellow in color and with highly reduced eyes, and sexual forms far larger than the corresponding workers. In addition, the geographic range of Tranopelta extends north into southern Mexico, so it is not impossible that a species might occur in the extreme southwestern United States.

In our opinion, however, a closer examination reveals differences that not only preclude the inclusion of D. pilatus in Tranopelta, but also in fact, reveal that the two genera are not closely related. For example, in the worker caste of Dolopomyrmex the clypeus is narrow, the median portion strongly elevated, and the antennal sockets closely approximated (clypeus broad, median portion weakly elevated, antennal sockets well-separated in Tranopelta), the cutting edge of the mandible is strongly oblique (more or less transverse in Tranopelta), the petiolar node is low, rounded, and nearly circular in dorsal view (strongly upright and wider than long in dorsal view in Tranopelta), the petiolar spiracle is located on the anterior side of the node (on the well-developed peduncle in Tranopelta), and a subpetiolar process is lacking (one, sometimes two present in Tranopelta). In addition, compound eyes are vestigial or lacking in Dolopomyrmex (present, with at least several well-defined and pigmented ommatidia in Tranopelta), and the workers are monomorphic (weakly polymorphic in Tranopelta). Lastly, the males are strikingly different. Those of Dolopomyrmex possess a true antennal scape nearly as long as the funiculus, and the petiolar and postpetiolar spiracles are located at the tips of unique lateral connules. In Tranopelta males, the first antennal segment is very short, no longer than the following two funicular segments taken together, and the petiolar and postpetiolar spiracles are normal in configuration.

Instead, within the Solenopsidini Dolopomyrmex appears to be closer to two exclusively Old World solenopsidine genera, Anillomyrma and Bondroitia. A comparison of the workers is instructive and striking. All three genera share a closely similar structure of the clypeus, frontal lobes, and antennae, strongly oblique mandibular cutting margins with 4 teeth (3 teeth in one Anillomyrma species), and similar petiolar structures, most notably having the spiracle located anterolaterally on the side of the node, reduced palpal counts, and vestigial or absent compound eyes. The only truly discordant note is the absence of a median clypeal seta in Dolopomyrmex, present in Anillomyrma, Bondroitia, and most other Solenopsidine genera. The presence of a median clypeal seta was once thought to be a diagnostic character for the Solenopsidine (Bolton, 1987), but is presently seen to be more variable and less important than previously thought. Bolton’s (2003) recent redefinition of the tribe reflects this change. We look forward to future discoveries that will help further clarify the phylogeny within this important and interesting group of genera. With respect to Dolopomyrmex in particular, we need better collections of the sexual forms of both Anillomyrma and Bondroitia. Two damaged males and a fragmentary queen of Bondroitia lujae at the MCZ collection show important similarities, as well as some notable differences, with the comparable castes of Dolopomyrmex. See also the figures of Bondroitia lujae in Bolton (1987).
To facilitate recognition of the new genus we present a modified version of the portion of Bolton’s (1987) key to the workers of the Solenopsidini that separates genera in which the antennae have eleven segments. In addition to Dolopomyrmex, Tranopelta is added to the key.

7 Propodeal spiracle notably enlarged ................................................................. 8
   -- Propodeal spiracle normal in size ................................................................. 9

8 Median clypeal seta present. Eyes absent........................................................ Bondroitia (Afrotropical)
   -- Median clypeal seta absent. Eyes present, consisting of at least several distinct, pigmented
      ommatidia .......................................................... Tranopelta (Neotropical)

9 Antennal club 2 segmented. Head almost circular in full-face view. Eyes posterior to
   cephalic midlength .................................................................................. Phacota (Spain)
   -- Antennal club 3 segmented. Head not almost circular in full-face view. Eyes, when present,
      at or anterior to cephalic midline ................................................................ 10

10 Propodeum in profile sharply angulate to bidentate ........................................ 11
    -- Propodeum in profile evenly rounded ..................................................... 12

11 [Couplet as in Bolton, which leads to Oxyepoecus and Allomerus (in part), both Neotropical
genera]

12 Median portion of clypeus distinctly elevated. In profile, postpetiole less voluminous than
   petiolar node, postpetiole narrowly attached to abdominal segment IV in dorsal view .... 13
    -- Median portion of clypeus evenly transversely convex, not distinctly elevated. In profile,
       postpetiole more voluminous than petiolar node, postpetiole broadly attached to abdominal
       segment IV in dorsal view .......................................................... Diplomorium (Afrotropical)

13 Clypeus strongly or weakly bicarinate. Median clypeal seta present .... Monomorium (in part)
   -- Clypeus lacking carinae. Median clypeal seta absent, instead clypeus with pair of long,
     ventrally curving setae that straddle the midpoint ......................... Dolopomyrmex (Nearctic)

In conclusion, Ed Wilson once remarked (context and exact wording now scrambled in
declining memory of first author) that the soil was perhaps the final frontier of biodiversity
exploration. Ants like Dolopomyrmex are just a hint of the diversity that remains to be
discovered if we can improve methods for finding the invertebrates that apparently abound in
the middle and lower soil horizons, at present safely hidden from our sight.

DEDICATION

The authors dedicate this paper to Edward O. Wilson on the occasion of his “golden jubilee”
year as a publishing ant systematist. Much will rightly be said concerning the importance of his
many scientific accomplishments. Here we wish to honor his service to myrmecology as a friend
to all interested in ants, as an encourager and supporter of myrmecological research and
education, and as a mentor, teacher, companion, and guide to all of us called to the honorable
study of the little creatures that rule the world.
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Figure 2. Dolopomyrmex pilatus. Queen habitus.
Figure 3. Dolopomyrmex pilatus, Male habitus.